



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,849	09/12/2003	Stephen Palm	BP 2488.2	7056
34399 7590 01/03/2007 GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON P.O. BOX 160727			EXAMINER	
			AJAYI, JOEL	
AUSTIN, TX	/8/16-0727		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2617	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,849	PALM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joel Ajayi	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Section</u>	eptember 2003.					
<u></u>	<u> </u>					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•	• .				
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	eu.				
Attachment/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
. spor recognition butto	٠, 🗀 ت	•				

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abramov et al. (U.S. Patent Number: 6486832) in view of Regnier et al. (U.S. Patent Application Number: 2003/0222818).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 1; Abramov clearly discloses a method for operating a Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) serviced by a plurality of Wireless Access Points (WAPs), at least some of the plurality of WAPs having directional antennas (fig. 5, column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 7, lines 30-34), the method comprising: performing a plurality of beaconing operations, each of the beaconing operations corresponding to a respective WAP of the plurality of WAPs such that during the beaconing operation the respective WAP transmits a substantially constant power beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 7, lines 3-6); during each beaconing operation, at least one non-beaconing WAP of the plurality of WAPs that has a directional antenna: listening for the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); directing an approximate maximum gain vector (high gain position) of the directional antenna toward the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); measuring a received strength of the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 6, lines 37-40).

Except:

Recording the relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector and the received strength of the transmitted beacon; and processing a plurality of recorded relative angular positions of the approximate maximum gain vectors and a plurality of recorded received strengths of the transmitted beacons to determine relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN.

In the same field of endeavor Regnier clearly discloses recording the relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector (optimum) and the received strength of the

Art Unit: 2617

transmitted beacon (paragraph 33, lines 1-6, paragraph 54, lines 1-25); and processing a plurality of recorded relative angular positions of the approximate maximum gain vectors and a plurality of recorded received strengths of the transmitted beacons to determine relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN (paragraph 16, lines 1-5; paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 54, lines 1-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Regnier into the method of Abramov in order to provide beam forming transmission and reception capabilities.

Consider claim 9; Abramov clearly discloses a Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) processing component comprising: a network interface that interfaces the WLAN processing component to a plurality of Wireless Access Points (WAPs) of the WLAN, at least some of the plurality of WAPs having directional antennas (fig. 5, column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 7, lines 30-34); and a processor communicatively coupled to the network interface that executes a group of instructions (column 3, lines 53-61) comprising: a plurality of instructions that cause the WLAN processing component to direct the plurality of WAPs to perform a plurality of beaconing operations, each of the beaconing operations corresponding to a respective WAP of the plurality of WAPs such that during the beaconing operation the respective WAP transmits a substantially constant power beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 7, lines 3-6); a plurality of instructions that cause the WLAN processing component to direct at least one non-beaconing WAP of the plurality of WAPs that has a directional antenna, during each beaconing operation, to: listen for the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8), direct an approximate maximum gain vector (high gain position) of the directional

Art Unit: 2617

antenna toward the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); determine a relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector (high gain position) (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); measure a received strength of the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 6, lines 37-40).

Except:

Recording the relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector and the received strength of the transmitted beacon; and processing a plurality of recorded relative angular positions of the approximate maximum gain vectors and a plurality of recorded received strengths of the transmitted beacons to determine relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN.

In the same field of endeavor Regnier clearly discloses recording the relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector (optimum) and the received strength of the transmitted beacon (paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 54, lines 1-25); and processing a plurality of recorded relative angular positions of the approximate maximum gain vectors and a plurality of recorded received strengths of the transmitted beacons to determine relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN (paragraph 16, lines 1-5; paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 54, lines 1-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Regnier into the method of Abramov in order to provide beam forming transmission and reception capabilities.

Consider claim 17; Abramov clearly discloses a Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) processing component comprising: a network interface that interfaces the WLAN processing

Art Unit: 2617

311/0011(1011**14**01111001: 10/000,0-

component to a plurality of Wireless Access Points (WAPs) of the WLAN, at least some of the plurality of WAPs having directional antennas (fig. 5, column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 7, lines 30-34); and means for performing a plurality of beaconing operations, each of the beaconing operations corresponding to a respective WAP of the plurality of WAPs such that during the beaconing operation the respective WAP transmits a substantially constant power beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8; column 7, lines 3-6); means for, during each beaconing operation, at least one non-beaconing WAP of the plurality of WAPs that has a directional antenna. listening for the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); directing an approximate maximum gain vector (high gain position) of the directional antenna toward the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); determining a relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector (high gain position) (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); measuring a received strength of the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); measuring a received strength of the transmitted beacon (column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 8); column 6, lines 37-40).

Except:

Recording the relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector and the received strength of the transmitted beacon; and means for processing a plurality of recorded relative angular positions of the approximate maximum gain vectors and a plurality of recorded received strengths of the transmitted beacons to determine relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN.

In the same field of endeavor Regnier clearly discloses recording the relative angular position of the approximate maximum gain vector (optimum) and the received strength of the transmitted beacon (paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 54, lines 1-25); and means for processing

Art Unit: 2617

a plurality of recorded relative angular positions of the approximate maximum gain vectors and a plurality of recorded received strengths of the transmitted beacons to determine relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN (paragraph 16, lines 1-5; paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 54, lines 1-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Regnier into the method of Abramov in order to provide beam forming transmission and reception capabilities.

Consider claims 2 and 10; the combination above clearly discloses that transmitting the substantially constant power beacon includes transmitting the beacon omni directionally (Regnier, paragraph 6, lines 1-4).

Consider claims 3, 11, and 18; the combination above clearly discloses determining that wireless coverage within a premises serviced by the plurality of WAPs is deficient in at least one location of the premises, and determining a repositioning of at least one of the plurality of WAPs to remedy the deficiency (Regnier, paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 42, lines 1-23).

Consider claims 4 and 12: the combination above clearly discloses that the repositioning of at least one of the plurality of WAPs to remedy the deficiency includes: estimating relative physical positions of the plurality of WAPs based upon the relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs; and based upon estimates of the relative physical positions of the plurality of WAPs, determining a physical repositioning of the at least one of the plurality of WAPs that will remedy the deficiency (Regnier, paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 38, lines 1-13; paragraph 42, lines 1-23).

Consider claims 5, 13, and 19; the combination above clearly discloses that the

Art Unit: 2617

repositioning comprises: receiving relative physical positions of the plurality of WAPs; correlating the relative physical positions of the plurality of WAPs with the relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs; determining the repositioning of at least one of the plurality of WAPs to remedy the deficiency is based upon the correlation of the relative physical positions of the plurality of WAPs with the relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs (Regnier, paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 38, lines 1-13; paragraph 42, lines 1-23).

Consider claims 6, 14, and 20; the combination above clearly discloses that the relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN: determining that wireless coverage within a premises serviced by the plurality of WAPs is deficient in at least one location of the premises; and determining an alteration of an antenna gain pattern of at least one of the plurality of WAPs having a directional antenna to remedy the deficiency (Regnier, paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 38, lines 1-13; paragraph 42, lines 1-23).

Consider claims 7 and 15; the combination above clearly discloses that the relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN: determining that wireless coverage within a premises serviced by the plurality of WAPs is deficient in at least one location of the premises; and determining an alteration of transmit power of at least one of the plurality of WAPs having a directional antenna to remedy the deficiency (Regnier, paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 42, lines 1-23).

Consider claims 8, 16, and 21; the combination above clearly discloses that the relative radio positions of the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN: determining that wireless coverage within a premises serviced by the plurality of WAPs is deficient in at least one location of the premises; determining that an additional WAP is required to remedy the deficiency; and

Art Unit: 2617

recommending a placement of the additional WAP with respect to the relative radio positions of

the plurality of WAPs within the WLAN (Regnier, paragraph 33, lines 1-6; paragraph 37, line 1

- paragraph 38, line 13).

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Joel Ajayi whose telephone number is (571) 270-1091. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2617

Page 10

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Joel Ajayi

December 22, 2006

WICK CORSARO EXAMINER 2600

WICH PRICEN